

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.hspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,189	02/28/2002	Tae-Sung Kim	1567.1030	4934
21171 7	590 02/13/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			CLINGER, JAMES C	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/084,189 Applicant(s)

Kim

Examiner

Jim Clinger

Art Unit '2821



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	ver sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- lf NO p - Failure - Anγ re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this community patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 28, 2002	<u> </u>			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action is not	n-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers				
9) ∐	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>Feb 28, 2002</u> is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on				
\ <u>-</u>	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) X All b) Some* c) None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT) ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certifie	Rule 17.2(a)).			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority a				
a) [a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachm					
_		rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 6) Other:				
- X	Officiation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1445) Paper No(s)	ər:			

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyama et al.(4,908,547).

Claims 1 and 11-12, figure 3 discloses a vacuum tube with index stripes(25), phosphor screen(22), electron gun(13), deflection yoke, light window and detector(14), and an index circuit(43) transmitting a signal obtained by synchronizing(40) an index signal with a color signal(41).

While the recited positioning of the light window is not specifically disclosed, from figure 3 it is obvious for the light window to be in the recited range of positions for improved sensing of radiation from the index strips.

3. Claims 2-10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyama in view of Kuwabara et al.(5,952,767).

While Toyama discloses a plurality of detectors(col. 1, lines 34-38), Toyama does not disclose four detectors.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,189 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

Claim 2, figure 2 of Kuwabara discloses four light detectors(19) for detecting radiation from index strips.

Claims 3, 7 and 13, the recited positioning is an obvious modification of the position disclosed in Kuwabara.

Claims 4 and 14, Kuwabara discloses 12 funnels(14) and necks(17).

Claim 5, figure 1 of Kuwabara discloses a divided screen.

Claims 6 and 15, figure 2 of Kuwabara discloses four windows(19) on each of four sides of the funnels(14).

Claims 8-10, the recited limitations are well known parameters in the art for a CRT.

Claims 9-10 and 15, the disclosed position of the window in Toyama appears to be in the recited range, or obviously close to it.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to position the four detectors disclosed in Kuwabara on the CRT disclosed in Toyama for improved radiation detection.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPO 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPO 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 4

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,400,085 in view of Toyama. As referenced above, figure 3 of Toyama discloses the recited window positioning. All other limitations are recited in the claims of Patent 6,400,085. The motive for combining the two references is for improved sensing of the radiation from the index stripes.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6. should be directed to Examiner Jim Clinger whose phone number is (703) 305-0619.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,189 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Janlle